Mediation Program Eastern District of New York Questionnaire for Attorneys in Mediated Cases

Our records indicate that you recently represented a client in a case referred to mediation authorized by EDNY Local Rule 83.11. To evaluate the performance of our Mediators, we need to know the views of those who have participated in the mediation session. This questionnaire asks about your experience in the case identified below. Your responses are confidential and will not be known to the court, other attorneys, the mediator, or the parties.

Please answer all questions with	th reference to the following case only:
	V
Docket Number:	·
Type of case	
Mediator	
Mediation Session of	date (s)
	mediation session in this case, please provide any the correct attorney and return the blank questionnaire
Attorney name:	
Firm name:	
Address:	
Telephone:	
Fax:	

Mediator Evaluation Questionnaire for Attorneys in Mediated Cases December 2000

Mediator Evaluation Page 2	
 Overall, how helpful or de this case? 	trimental was the mediation in the resolution of
Please check	one response.
() 1. Very	helpful.
() 2. Some	ewhat helpful.
() 3. It had	d little impact on the case.
() 4. Some	ewhat detrimental.
() 5. Very	detrimental.
2. Was your client present	at the mediation session (s)?
() 1. Yes.	
() 2. No.	
3. Was a Mediation Statem first session?	ent submitted on behalf or your client prior to the
() 1. Yes	
() 2. No	
4. Was a settlement works	ed out at the mediation session (s)?
() 1. Yes	

(__) 2. No

5.	Approximately how many h	ours dic	l the n	nediatio	n sessic	on (s) last?		
	hours							
ediator Ev age 3	valuation							
6.	How do you rate the Mediator on each of the following points:							
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
		1	2	3				
	Knowledge of the law		_					
	Preparation			_		_		
	Fairness and Impartiality		_			_		
	Creative Problem Solver			_		_		
	Comments							
7.	Was the Mediator effective is discussion of this case? yes	n gettin	g the p	oarties to	engag	e in meaningful		
	no							
	Explain							

Check which of the following skills the Mediator possessed:

(a)	ability to listen actively. ()			
(b)	ability to analyze problems, identify and separate the issues involved and frame these issues for solution or decision making. ()			
(c)				
Mediator Evaluatio Page 4	n			
(d)	sensitivity to strongly felt values of the participants, including gender, ethnic, and cultural differences. ()			
(e)	ability to deal with complex factual materials. ()			
(f)	presence and persistence. Ability to create and maintain control of a diverse group of disputants. ()			
(g)	ability to earn trust and maintain acceptability. ()			
(h)	ability to screen out non-mediatable issues. ()			
(i)	ability to help parties to invent creative options. ()			
(j)	ability to help parties assess their non-settlement alternatives.			
(k)	ability to help the parties assess their own informed choices. ()			
9. Would	you recommend this Mediator?			
	Not at all Reluctantly Somewhat Highly 1 2 3 4			

Any comments or suggestions you may have about the Mediator or the mediation program or its application to this case are welcome. Please use the back of this page.

THANK YOU.

Please return this questionnaire to:

Gerald P. Lepp, ADR Administrator United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201